[18th December 1956

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN : Sir, the cost is for 35 shelters Rs. 43,000. Government do not discourage any philanthropic gentleman coming forward to do this item of work, out of generosity. We would, on the other hand, welcome any such offer and I have no objection to appealing to public-spirited gentlemen. I know there are certain places where philanthropic gentlemen have undertaken this kind of work and they have also named the shelters after their dear ones.

SRI A. M. ALLAPICHAI : Sir, is it the idea of Government to construct shelters at every bus-stop?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN : There are 762 bus-stops and 52 of them have been already provided with shelters. But in view of the huge expenditure involved in construction, the cost of provision of shelter at every stop is prohibitive. But it is the intention of the Government to provide shelters at important places and they have selected 35 places which are considered to be very important and which require the urgent attention of the Government.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHI : Sir, will the Government try any other pattern of shelter, as the present one does not give shelter either from sun or rain? (Laughter.)

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN : I think I will be satisfied with some shelter provided for the time being.

SRI A. M. ALLAPICHAI : If it is very costly to construct shelters as we are doing now, why should we not go in for cheap ones? (A Voice : Thatched shelters?)

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN : Should they not be durable and should not they withstand storm and winds and give sufficient protection from sun and rain?

MR. CHAIRMAN : Questions are over.

[*Note.*—**An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.**]

II.—ADJOURNMENT MOTION *RE* THE SERIOUS INSECURE SITUATION CREATED IN THE CASE OF TEACHERS HANDLING SANSKRIT, ARABIC AND URDU IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS BY THE PASSING OF G.O. No. 1344, EDUCATION, DATED THE 12TH JULY 1956.

MR. CHAIRMAN : I have received notice of a Motion for Adjournment from the hon. Member Sri G. Krishnamoorthi. The motion runs as follows :—

" I beg to move that this House do adjourn the business of the Council for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the serious insecure situation created in the case of teachers handling Sanskrit, Arabic and Urdu in Secondary Schools by the passing of G.O. No. 1344, Education, dated the 12th July 1956 and the application of rule 60 of the Madras Educational Rules to such languages."

18th December 1956]   [Mr. Chairman]

Mr. Krishnamoorthi will now explain his motion.

SRI V. CHAKKARAI CHETTY : I do not hear you properly, Sir. He has given notice to adjourn the business of the House, for what purpose?

MR. CHAIRMAN : He will now explain the issue.

* SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHI : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I beg leave to move the motion which runs thus—

"I beg to move that this House do adjourn the business of the Council for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the serious insecure situation created in the case of teachers handling Sanskrit, Arabic and Urdu in Secondary Schools by the passing of G.O. No. 1344, Education, dated the 12th July 1956 and the application of rule 60 of the Madras Educational Rules to such languages."

Now, Sir, rule 60 of the Madras Educational Rules says . . .

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Sir, before the hon. Member explains, I would like to say that when there is an opportunity in the near future to discuss such a matter, it should not be brought before the House in the form of an adjournment motion. We are now going to discuss the Budget and any subject could be discussed during the debate. Therefore, *prima facie* this issue cannot form the subject-matter of an adjournment motion. Whatever the hon. Member wants to say, he can say when he speaks during the Budget debate. Therefore, I submit that this motion will have to be ruled out of order even without any discussion.

DR. V. K. JOHN : Sir, does this mean that during the Budget discussion, no adjournment motion could be moved?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Yes, that is so, especially when we are having the general discussion to-day and to-morrow. There is an opportunity to raise this issue during that debate.

* SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHI : In view of the fact that the Rules of Parliamentary Procedure fix a definite period of at least two hours for the discussion of a particular topic of urgent public importance that has recently occurred through an adjournment motion, I beg leave of the House to give me this opportunity to explain the serious situation that has arisen. Of course, it can be discussed in the Budget speech, but it may not be . . .

MR. CHAIRMAN : Will the hon. Member please sit down? My original intention was to give him a chance to explain and then give my ruling. Since the Hon. Minister has now explained the position, I hope, the hon. Member will be satisfied. He can refer to this in his speech on the Budget and he will be the

first speaker to-day. Further, this is neither an urgent matter nor a matter of recent occurrence. The Government Order was passed on 14th July 1956, five months ago.

3-20 p.m. * SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHI : The Government Order is coming into effect only now. Much time has been taken to circulate it.

MR. CHAIRMAN : I rule the motion out of order.

Discussion on the Budget will now commence.

SRI K. BALASUBRAMANYA AYYAR : Sir, before the discussion starts, may I be permitted to inform the hon. Member that orders have been issued by the Government that teachers of five-years' standing and those who are above the age of 45 years should not be affected on account of this Government Order?

III.—GENERAL DISCUSSION ON THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 1957–58.

* SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHI : Mr. Chairman, Sir, I am glad that I have been given the opportunity of speaking first on the Budget for the year 1957–58. Now, what I wanted to say with regard to the Government Order is this. The Government Order has been passed and rule 60 of the Madras Educational Rules is sought to be enforced with regard to the three languages—Sanskrit, Arabic and Urdu. Rule 60 of the Madras Educational Rules says that if in a particular area a minority community lives and the children of that community through their parents claim instruction through the medium of a language that is different from the regional language or the mother-tongue, then provision can be made for that purpose by the Government if there are 15 such children in each of the Forms I to III or 45 such children in all the three Forms put together and 45 such children in all the three Forms IV to VI put together. Rule 60 refers only to the introduction of a language as a medium of instruction in all subjects in the case of a minority community that wants that language to be the medium of instruction. That rule has no application whatsoever to the teaching of an additional language, say, a classical language like Sanskrit, Arabic or Urdu. The action of the Government in passing this Government Order is not at all proper. Sanskrit has been there for a number of years and the other languages also have been there for a number of years. We are not asking for these languages to become the media of instruction. We are only asking for these languages to be taught additionally for two or three periods in a week. We do not want all subjects to be taught through the medium of these languages. What is contemplated in rule 60 of the Madras Educational Rules is the introduction of a language as a medium of instruction. The application of this rule to this case, i.e., the teaching of an additional language, is quite improper. It was never thought of and